



Visualization Tool Using t-SNE

Visualizing Data using t-SNE

Laurens van der Maaten

TiCC Tilburg University P.O. Box 90153, 5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands

Geoffrey Hinton

Department of Computer Science University of Toronto 6 King's College Road, M5S 3G4 Toronto, ON, Canada LVDMAATEN@GMAIL.COM

HINTON@CS.TORONTO.EDU



Visualization tool using t-SNE width parameter Pii = 0 720 $||x_i - x_j||^2 / 2\sigma^2$ Gausian exp $x_l \|^2 / 2\sigma^2) \checkmark$ snident t · distribuition $KL(\mathbf{P}||\mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{ij} \log \frac{p_{ij}}{q_{ij}}$ divergence KL



Visualizing back propagation in tSNE



IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON VISUALIZATION AND COMPUTER GRAPHICS VOL. 23, NO. 1, JANUARY 2017

Visualizing the Hidden Activity of Artificial Neural Networks

Paulo E. Rauber, Samuel G. Fadel, Alexandre X. Falcão, and Alexandru C. Telea



SVHN dataset



CIFAR-10



Table 1. Test Set Accuracies for our Two Architectures

Model Dataset	MLP	CNN	State-of-the-art
MNIST	98.52%	99.62%	99.79% [47]
SVHN	77.38%	93.76%	98.08% [23]
CIFAR-10	52.91%	79.19%	91.78% [23]



4 **tSNE**

tSINE projection of MNIST Images



tSNE projection of last layer of the neural network.

Fig. 3. Projection of the last MLP hidden layer activations MNIST test subset. a) Before training (NH: 83.78%). b) After training (NH: 98.36%, AC: 99.15%). Inset shows classification of visual outliers.





Fig. 4. Projection of the last MLP hidden layer activations before training, SVHN test subset (NH: 20.94%). Poor class separation is visible.

SVHN



Fig. 5. Projection of the MLP hidden layer activations after training, SVHN test subset. a) First hidden layer (NH: 52.78%). b) Last hidden layer (NH: 67%).



Fig. 9. Projection of last CNN hidden layer activations after training, *CIFAR*-10 test subset (NH: 53.43%, AC: 78.7%).



Fig. 11. Inter-epoch evolution, last CNN hidden layer, epochs 0-100, in steps of 20, *MNIST* test subset. Brighter trail parts show later epochs.

Visualizing and Understanding Convolutional Networks

Matthew D. Zeiler and Rob Fergus

Dept. of Computer Science, New York University, USA {zeiler,fergus}@cs.nyu.edu







			1000		100			100 million (1990)		Contraction of the		63	1999	and the second	3100000		All and a second second	1 2 1	1 4 1 H 1 H 1	COLUMN TWO IS NOT	Con and and and	the state of	Contract of the local division of the local
	3	Ċ	X	ie.	Ę	10	09	10			C		100	Same -	A.		(Kii	2			R		1
٨	(0)	00	No.	W.	Te.	6	67			V	Bu	1		1	128	4	-15				1-2	CB.	6
6	1	No.	E.	*	E.	-0	6		C	Contraction of the second seco	C				1.00	7			24				N
ţ,	÷		1	- All	1		4			1		in A	49		97/	×	*		AU 1 F			2	
1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$								0		120		- 	**	50	*		-		1>	r-b	
$\{q_i^{\rm eff}\}$		N.	20	10	an a	-	1.0	Y		-	5	۲			1	×.	S.M.		6		N.	2	Prove and
1	1.40		1	*		FO					0	S AN	1		R		(Å)			-	0		P
		ALL .	*	4	-	-	1		TRA	Carlo		190	ijs.	Rept.	Ø		<u>(9</u>)					9-17	1
in the		A.S.	5	+	-	-0				_	and to	-470 ·					Q.		T		8	3	-
	Litte		X	¥,)Ť			-		Z		97		-	X	and the second s	No.	X	-	-	1	L k	ite and
125		ALC: N	Ŷſ	X	A.		-	5	JUS		T	192	1. Constant	8	1	×.	10	3	1		X		A
	9		¥.	M	4			-	Y	72	-the	10 C	- M	-	985	3	1. M	\sim	SI				t
4	and the second s		1	-3-	8			H	••/	2		0	Q.	Ø	(0)	۲	Q	8	Ø	6_		2	1
134	1ª	1	5		9		141	14	3			۵_	(C)	Ğ			0	8	951		96		
Lay	/er	4	192	-			Ye	RE	0			Lay	yer	5	19				0	0	9 -		

Representation Learning in Deep Networks



UNDERSTANDING HOW DEEP BELIEF NETWORKS PERFORM ACOUSTIC MODELLING

Garcia-Romero, Daniel, et al. "Speaker diarization using deep neural network embeddings." 2017 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP). IEEE, 2017.

Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto

Speech Recognition

• Map the features to phone class. Using phone labelled data.



 Classical machine learning - train a classifier on speech training data that maps to the target phoneme class.









2-D projection of 2nd layer DNN





