

# E9 205 – Machine Learning for Signal Processing

*Homework # 3*

Due date: Oct. 14, 2019 (in class).

Analytical in writing and report for the coding part in writing/print submitted in class.

Actual code alone in a single zip file with name “Assignment3\_FullName.zip”

submitted by email to mlsp19.iisc@gmail.com

Assignment should be solved individually without consent.

October 2, 2019

1. **Kernel LDA** Deepak has learnt about linear discriminant analysis in his course. In a job interview, he is asked to find a way to perform dimensionality reduction in non-linear space. Specifically, he is given a set of  $N$  data points  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$  and a non-linear transformation  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$  of the data. When he is asked is to define LDA in the non-linear space, he defines the within-class and between-class scatter matrices for a two-class problem as,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_B &= (\mathbf{m}_2^\phi - \mathbf{m}_1^\phi)(\mathbf{m}_2^\phi - \mathbf{m}_1^\phi)^T \\ \mathbf{S}_W &= \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{n \in C_k} [\phi(\mathbf{x}_n) - \mathbf{m}_k^\phi][\phi(\mathbf{x}_n) - \mathbf{m}_k^\phi]^T \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{m}_k^\phi = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n \in C_k} \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)$  for  $k = 1, 2$  and  $C_k$  denotes the set of data points belonging to class  $k$ . He also defines the Fisher discriminant as

$$J = \frac{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{w}}$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  denotes the projection vector. He goes on to say that he can solve the generalized eigen value problem to find  $\mathbf{w}$  which maximizes the Fisher discriminant. At this point, the interviewer suggests that  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$  can be infinite dimensional and therefore LDA suggested by Deepak cannot be performed. Deepak counters by saying that he could solve for the LDA using kernel function  $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_j)$ . He goes on and shows that LDA can indeed be formulated in a kernel space and the projection of a new data point can be done using kernels (without computing  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ ). How would you have found these two solutions if you were Deepak ? (Points 20)

2. By definition, a kernel function  $k(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \phi(\mathbf{x})^T \phi(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for defining a kernel function is that the Gram matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  is positive definite. Using

either of these definitions, prove the following kernel rules

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) &= ck_1(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \\
 k(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) &= f(\mathbf{x})k_1(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}})f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \\
 k(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) &= \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \\
 k(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) &= k_1(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) + k_2(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \\
 k(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) &= k_1(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}})k_2(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}})
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $k_1, k_2$  denote valid kernel functions,  $c > 0$  is any scalar,  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is any scalar function and  $\mathbf{A}$  is symmetric positive definite matrix.

(Points 10)

3. **One-class SVM** Let  $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_l\}$  be dataset defined in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . An unsupervised outlier detection method consist of finding a center  $\mathbf{a}$  and radius  $R$  of the smallest sphere enclosing the dataset in the high dimensional non-linear feature space  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ . In a soft margin setting, non-negative slack variables  $\zeta_j$  (for  $j = 1, \dots, l$ ) can be introduced such that,  $\|\phi(\mathbf{x}_j) - \mathbf{a}\|^2 \leq R^2 + \zeta_j$

The objective function in this case is to minimize radius of the sphere with a weighted penalty for slack variables, i.e.,  $R^2 + C \sum_{j=1}^l \zeta_j$  where  $C$  is a penalty term for allowing a trade-off between training errors (distance of points outside the sphere) and the radius of the smallest sphere.

- Give the primal form Lagrangian and the primal constraints for the one-class SVM. (Points 5)
- Find the dual form in terms of kernel function and the KKT constraints for the one-class SVM. What are the support vectors? Will support vectors change when  $C > 1$  is chosen? Give a numerically stable estimate of  $R$  (Points 15)
- For a new data point  $\mathbf{x}$ , how will we identify whether it is an outlier or not (using kernel functions)? (Points 5)

4. Use the following data source for the remaining two questions

[leap.ee.iisc.ac.in/sriram/teaching/MLSP\\_19/assignments/data/Data.tar.gz](http://leap.ee.iisc.ac.in/sriram/teaching/MLSP_19/assignments/data/Data.tar.gz)

**Implementing Linear SVMs** - 15 subject faces with happy/sad emotion are provided in the data. Each image is of  $100 \times 100$  matrix. Perform PCA to reduce the dimension from 10000 to  $K$ . Implement a classifier on the training images with linear kernel based support vector machine. One potential source of SVM implementation is the LIBSVM package

<http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm/>

- Use the SVM to classify the test images. How does the performance change for various choice of kernels, parameter  $C$  and  $\epsilon$ . How does the performance change as a function of  $K$ .
- Compare the SVM classifier with LDA classifier and comment on the similarity and differences in terms of the problem formulation as well as the performance.

(Points 15)

5. **Supervised Sentiment Analysis** - Download the movie review data (each line is a individual review)

*[http : //www.leap.ee.iisc.ac.in/sriram/teaching/MLSP\\_19/assignments/movieReviews1000.txt](http://www.leap.ee.iisc.ac.in/sriram/teaching/MLSP_19/assignments/movieReviews1000.txt)*

- a Split the data into two subsets. One for training (first 3000 reviews) and the other for testing (last 1000 reviews).
- b Use TF-IDF features and train PCA (using the training data) to reduce the data to 10 dimensions.
- c Train a SVM model. And check the performance on the test set in terms of review classification accuracy.
- d Compare different kernel choices - linear, polynomial and radial basis function. Report the number of support vectors used and the classification performance for different kernel choices.

**(Points 30)**